	Case 2:09-cr-00283-SRB	Document 4 Filed 01/2	27/09 Page 1 Pleb	LODGED
	LINITED STA	TES DISTRICT (	COUR L RECEIVED	COPY
WO	DISTR	RICT OF ARIZONA	IAN 2 7	2009
			CLERK US DIST	PICT COURT
UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		I DISTRICT OF	ARIZONA I
		ORDER O	OF DETENT ON PENDING TR	IAL DEPOTT
	Martin Grijalva-Marquez	Case Number:	09-3008M	
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prepo he defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was honderance of the evidence the d	neld on <u>1/27/09</u> . Defendar defendant is a serious flight risk	nt was present and order the
FINDINGS OF FACT				
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:		- 4 for normanant rasidence	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the L	Inited States or lawfully admitte	30 for permanent residence.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal his			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a maximum of			
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of year	rs imprisonment.	11 45 - 0 - 104
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defen No condition or combination of condi	dant will flee. itions will reasonably assure the	e appearance of the defendant	as required.
DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION				
The a correction appeal. The of the Unite defendant t	e defendant is committed to the custody of is facility separate, to the extent practicable e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable d States or on request of an attorney for the o the United States Marshal for the purp	e opportunity for private consultance Government, the person in close of an appearance in connections.	lation with defense counsel. Or charge of the corrections facility ction with a court proceeding.	r confinement in custody pending n order of a court shall deliver the
APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE				
deliver a co Court. Pur service of a district cou	S ORDERED that should an appeal of thi py of the motion for review/reconsideratio suant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effe a copy of this order or after the oral order rt. Failure to timely file objections in acco	ective December 1, 2005, Defer is stated on the record within vordance with Rule 59(a) will wai	indant shall have ten (10) days which to file specific written objive the right to review. 59(a), F	from the date of jections with the ED.R.CRIM.P.
Sarvicas si	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ufficiently in advance of the hearing befo the potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consider re the District Court to allow Pr	red, it is counsel's responsibility retrial Services an opportunity	to notify Pretrial to interview and
DATE:	1-27-09	Lawrence	O. Anderson ates Magistrate Judge	Deten